

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

J. DOE 1, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ELON MUSK, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 8:25-cv-00462-TDC

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon consideration of Plaintiffs' second Motion to Seal and to Proceed Under Pseudonyms, ECF No. 49, Defendants' opposition, ECF No. 62, and any reply, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiffs' second Motion to Seal and to Proceed Under Pseudonyms, ECF No. 49, be and is hereby GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. Plaintiffs' motion is GRANTED to the extent that it requests that witnesses J. Roe 6, J. Roe 7, and J. Roe 8 be allowed to proceed under pseudonym. Plaintiffs' motion is DENIED to the extent that it requests leave to file the witness declarations of J. Roe 6, J. Roe 7, and J. Roe 8 under seal.

Dated: _____

HONORABLE JUDGE THEODORE D. CHUANG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE